

TEXAS ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE COMMISSION (458)

ADDENDUM F

LOCAL OPTION ELECTIONS

For the Fiscal Year Ended August 31, 2015

Seventy-seven (77) local option elections were held for sixty-six (66) jurisdictions in FY 2015. Sixty-five (65) of the election issues passed. Twelve (12) failed to win voter approval. Of the jurisdictions holding elections, twenty-four (24) became “wet” for the first time as a result of FY 2015 elections, thirty-two (32) expanded alcoholic beverage sales or authorized additional sales, and ten (10) retained their previous wet/dry status. Issues for which elections were held included “the legal sale of beer and wine” (9 ea.), “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only” (26 ea.), “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only” (13 ea.), “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, except mixed beverages (1 ea.), “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages” (17 ea.), “the legal sale of mixed beverages (2 ea.), and “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only” (9 ea.).

Note: One jurisdiction (Queen City, Cass County) held elections on both November 4, 2014, and on May 9, 2015.

Elections Held November 4, 2014

An election was held for the City of Smithville, Bastrop County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 686 FOR and 272 AGAINST. The City of Smithville, Bastrop County, was “wet” for all sales of alcoholic beverages except mixed beverages before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Salado, Bell County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 783 FOR and 229 AGAINST. Before the election the City of Salado, Bell County, was “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption and for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants holding food and beverage certificates. After the election, the City of Salado, Bell County, remains “wet” for those sales but is now also “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for on-premises consumption without the previous requirement of a mixed beverage permit or a food and beverage certificate.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 3, Blanco County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 1,257 FOR and 379 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 3, Blanco County, was “wet” only for the sale of beer for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Texarkana, Bowie County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only. The issue PASSED by a vote of 4,086 FOR and 3,392 AGAINST. Before the election, the City of Texarkana, Bowie County, was “wet” only for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants holding food and beverage certificates and after the election is now also “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Wake Village, Bowie County, on November 4, 2014, on “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue FAILED by a vote of 783 FOR and 829 AGAINST. The City of Wake Village, Bowie County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 2, Briscoe County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 99 FOR and 60 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 2, Briscoe County, was “dry” before the

election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Queen City, Cass County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue FAILED by a vote of 156 FOR and 189 AGAINST. The City of Queen City, Cass County, was “dry” for all alcohol sales before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

An election was held for the City of Bullard, Cherokee and Smith Counties, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 389 FOR and 248 AGAINST. Before the election, the City of Bullard, Cherokee and Smith Counties, was “wet in part” only for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants holding food and beverage certificates. After the election, the City of Bullard, Cherokee and Smith Counties is also “wet” throughout for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Bullard, Cherokee and Smith Counties, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 428 FOR and 207 AGAINST. The City of Bullard, Cherokee and Smith Counties, was “wet in part” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants holding food and beverage certificates before the election and after the election is now “wet” throughout for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants holding food and beverage certificates.

A countywide election was held for Coleman County on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 1,553 FOR and 643 AGAINST. Coleman County was “wet in part” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages except mixed beverages before the election and after the election is “wet” throughout for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only and is “wet in part” for the sale of beer and wine for on-premises consumption and for the sale of distilled spirits for off-premises consumption.

A countywide election was held for Coleman County on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 1,514 FOR and 669 AGAINST. Coleman County was “wet in part” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages except mixed beverages before the election and after the election is “wet” throughout for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of Princeton, Collin County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 779 FOR and 227 AGAINST. The City of Princeton, Collin County, was “wet in part” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election is “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Bartonville, Denton County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 470 FOR and 120 AGAINST. The City of Bartonville, Denton County was “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election is also “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of Denton, Denton County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 18,575 FOR and 6,551 AGAINST. Before the election, the City of Denton, Denton County, was “wet” throughout only for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption and for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants holding food and beverage certificates. After the election, the City of Denton, Denton County, is now “wet” throughout for the sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Gorman, Eastland County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 137 FOR and 96 AGAINST. The City of Gorman, Eastland County was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine.

An election was held for the City of Seminole, Gaines County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 694 FOR and 606 AGAINST. The City of Seminole, Gaines County was “wet in part” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election is now “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 2, Hardin County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 842 FOR and 751 AGAINST. Before the election, Justice of the Peace Precinct 2, Hardin County, was “wet” for the sale of beer and wine and “wet in part” both for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with food and beverage certificates and for the sale of distilled spirits for off-premises consumption. After the election Justice of the Peace Precinct 2, Hardin County, is now “wet” for sales of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption and for the sale of beer and wine for on-premises consumption. It also remains “wet in part” for the sale of mixed restaurants in restaurants with a food and beverage certificate.

An election was held for the City of Tomball, Harris County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 497 FOR and 106 AGAINST. The City of Tomball, Harris County, was for the most part “wet” for all alcoholic beverage sales, including mixed beverages before the election and after the election is now “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Hallsville, Harrison County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 446 FOR and 342 AGAINST. The City of Hallsville, Harrison County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Rule, Haskell County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 91 FOR and 50 AGAINST. The City of Rule, Haskell County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 3, Hill County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages except mixed beverages.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 1,039 FOR and 525 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 3, Hill County, was “wet in part” for all sales of alcoholic beverages except mixed beverages before the election and after the election is “wet” throughout for all sales of alcoholic beverages except mixed beverages.

An election was held for City of Caddo Mills, Hunt County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue FAILED by a vote of 102 FOR and 111 AGAINST. The City of Caddo Mills, Hunt County, was “dry” for all alcohol sales before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

An election was held for the City of Campbell, Hunt County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue FAILED by a vote of 50 FOR and 99 AGAINST. The City of Campbell, Hunt County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and remains “dry” after the election.

An election was held for the City of Fritch, Hutchinson and Moore Counties, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 364 FOR and 165 AGAINST. The City of Fritch, Hutchinson and Moore Counties was “dry” before

the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Lueders, Jones County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 37 FOR and 24 AGAINST. The City of Lueders, Jones County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Kaufman, Kaufman County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 305 FOR and 202 AGAINST. The City of Kaufmann, Kaufman County, was “wet in part” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election is “wet” throughout for such sales.

On election was held for the City of Kaufman, Kaufman County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue PASSED by of 357 FOR and 168 AGAINST. The City of Kaufman, Kaufman County, was, for the most part, “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption before the November 4th elections but “dry” for the sale of mixed beverages. After the November 4th elections, the City of Kaufman, Kaufman County, is “wet” throughout for the sale of alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption and for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants holding food and beverage certificates.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 4, Lampasas County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 1,366 FOR and 389 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 4, Lampasas County, was “wet in part” for the sale of beer and wine before the election and after the election is “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Dayton, Liberty County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 970 FOR and 525 AGAINST. The City of Dayton, Liberty County was “wet” only for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 1, Live Oak County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 260 FOR and 105 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 1, Live Oak County, was “wet” for the sale of beer before the election and after the election is now “wet’ for the sale of beer and wine.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 3, Live Oak County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 369 FOR and 204 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 3, Live Oak County, was “wet” for the sale of beer before the election and after the election is now “wet’ for the sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 3C, Maverick County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue FAILED by a vote of 75 FOR and 167 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 3C, Maverick County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election, remains “dry” for all such sales.

An election was held for the City of Conroe, Montgomery County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 5,385 FOR and 2,695 AGAINST. The City of Conroe, Montgomery County was for the most part “wet” for all alcoholic beverage sales, including mixed beverages before the election and after the election is now “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 1, Moore County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of "the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages." The issue PASSED by a vote of 1,523 FOR and 804 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 1, Moore County, was "wet" for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only before the election and after the election is now "wet" for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Kerns, Navarro County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of "the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages." The issue PASSED by a vote of 168 FOR to 113 AGAINST. The City of Kerns, Navarro County, was "dry" before the election and after the election is now "wet" for the sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 2, Orange County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only. The issue PASSED by a vote of 2,325 FOR and 1,444 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 2, Orange County, was "dry" before the election and after the election is now "wet" for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 2, Orange County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages. The issue PASSED by a vote of 2,213 FOR and 1,573 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 2, Orange County, was "dry" before the election and after the election is now "wet" for the sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.

Justice of the Peace Precinct 3, Palo Pinto County, an election has been called for November 4, 2014, on the issue of "the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages." The issue PASSED by a vote of 450 FOR and 111 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 3, Palo Pinto County, was "wet" for the sale of all alcoholic beverages except mixed beverages before the election and after the election is now "wet" for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 3, Potter County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of "the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only. The issue PASSED by a vote of 3,595 FOR and 1,807 AGAINST. Before the election Justice of the Peace Precinct 3, Potter County, was "wet" for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with food and beverage certificates and "wet in part" for the sale of beer and wine and for the sale of distilled spirits for off-premises consumption. After the election, Justice of the Peace Precinct 3, Potter County, is "wet" throughout for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption and for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with food and beverage Certificates. It also remains "wet in part" for the sale of distilled spirits for off-premises consumption and for the "sale of beer and wine for on-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Canyon, Randall County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of "the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 1,887 FOR and 1,160 AGAINST. The City of Canyon, Randall County was "dry" for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election, and after the election is now "wet" for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Canyon, Randall County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of "the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only. The issue PASSED by a vote of 2,003 FOR and 1,040 AGAINST. The City of Canyon, Randall County was "dry" for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election, and after the election is now "wet" for the sale of mixed beverages by restaurants with food and beverage certificates.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 3, Real County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of "the legal sale of beer and wine." The issue PASSED by a vote of 87 FOR and 56 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 3, Real County, was "wet" only of the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election is now "wet" for the sale beer and wine for both on and off premises consumption.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 3, Real County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 75 FOR and 63 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 3, Real County, was “wet” only of the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Fate, Rockwall County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 1,151 FOR and 506 AGAINST. The City of Fate, Rockwall County, was “wet in part” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election is now “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Fate, Rockwall County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 1,337 FOR and 349 AGAINST. Before the November 4th elections, the City of Fate, Rockwall County, was mostly “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption but “dry” for the sale of mixed beverages. After the elections, the City of Fate, Rockwall County is “wet” throughout for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption and for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants holding food and beverage certificates.

An election was held for the City of Overton, Rusk and Smith Counties, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 313 FOR and 170 AGAINST. Before the election, the Smith County portion of Overton was “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption but the Rusk County portion of the city was “dry” for such sales. After the election, the City of Overton, Rusk and Smith Counties, is “wet” throughout for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 4, Rusk County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 653 FOR and 389 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 4, Rusk County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 4, San Augustine County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 474 FOR and 257 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 4, San Augustine County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 5, Smith County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 3,897 FOR and 2,848 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 5, Smith County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 5, Smith County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 4,377 FOR and 2,299 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 5, Smith County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants holding food and beverage certificates.

A countywide election was held for Sterling County on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 275 FOR and 197 AGAINST. Sterling County was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine.

An election was held for the City of Throckmorton, Throckmorton County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue FAILED by a vote of 140 FOR and 166 AGAINST. The City of Throckmorton, Throckmorton County was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

An election was held for the City of Wheeler, Wheeler County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 241 FOR and 127 AGAINST. The City of Wheeler, Wheeler County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 3, Wood County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 1,687 FOR and 931 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 3, Wood County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Quitman, Wood County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 251 FOR and 212 AGAINST. Before the election, the City of Quitman, Wood County, was “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption and for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants holding food and beverage certificates. After the election, the City of Quitman, Wood County, remains “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants holding food and beverage certificates but is now also “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 1, Young County, on November 4, 2014, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue FAILED by a vote of 1,837 FOR and 2,080 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 1, Young County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

Elections Held May 9, 2015

An election was held for the City of Elkhart, Anderson County, on May 9, 2015, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 83 FOR and 80 AGAINST. The City of Elkhart, Anderson County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine.

An election was held for the City of Muleshoe, Bailey County, on May 9, 2015, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 534 FOR and 372 AGAINST. The City of Muleshoe, Bailey County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 4, Bandera County, on May 9, 2015, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 159 FOR and 104 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 4, Bandera County, was “wet” in part for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election is “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 4, Bandera County, on May 9, 2015, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 161 FOR and 101 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 4, Bandera County, was “wet” in part for the sale of mixed beverages before the election and after the election is now “wet” throughout for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of Atlanta, Cass County, on May 9, 2015, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue FAILED by a vote of 244 FOR and 289 AGAINST. The City of Atlanta, Cass County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverages sales before the election and after the election remains “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales.

An election was held for the City of Queen City, Cass County, on May 9, 2015, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine.” The issue FAILED by a vote of 131 FOR and 136 AGAINST. The City of Queen City, Cass County was “dry” for all alcoholic beverages sales before the election and after the election remains “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 2, Coke County, on May 9, 2015, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 119 FOR and 59 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 2, Coke County, was “wet” only for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for both on and off premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Rowlett, Dallas and Rockwall Counties, on May 9, 2015, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 1,729 FOR and 732 AGAINST. Before the election, the City of Rowlett, Dallas and Rockwall Counties, was “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption and for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants holding food and beverage certificates. After the election, the City of Rowlett, Dallas and Rockwall, counties remains “wet” for such sales and is now also “wet” for the sale of distilled spirits for off-premises consumption.

A countywide election was held for Delta County on May 9, 2015, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 446 FOR and 413 AGAINST. Delta County was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Whitewright, Fannin and Grayson Counties, on May 9, 2015, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 107 FOR and 42 AGAINST. The City of Whitewright, Fannin and Grayson Counties was totally “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Lefors, Gray County, on May 9, 2015, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 104 FOR and 27 AGAINST. The City of Lefors, Gray County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverages sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Melissa, Grayson County, on May 9, 2015, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 255 FOR and 112 AGAINST. The City of Melissa, Grayson County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election, is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Anton, Hockley County, on election May 9, 2015, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 120 FOR and 98 AGAINST. The City of Anton, Hockley County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Levelland, Hockley County, on May 9, 2015, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 1,114 FOR and 791 AGAINST. The City of Levelland, Hockley County, was “wet” only for the sale of mixed

beverages in restaurants with food and beverage certificates before the election and after the election remains “wet” for such sales and is now also “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Quinlan, Hunt County, on May 9, 2015, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue FAILED by a vote of 102 FOR and 119 AGAINST. The City of Quinlan, Hunt County, was “wet” only for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election, and after the election, remains “wet” only for such sales.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 4, Matagorda County, on May 9, 2015, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 234 FOR and 54 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 4, Matagorda County, was only “wet” in part for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election is now throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Brady, McCulloch County, on May 9, 2015, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 250 FOR and 90 AGAINST. Before the election, the City of Brady, McCulloch County, was “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption and for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants holding FB certificates. After the election, the City of Brady, McCulloch County, remains “wet” for such sales but is now also “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in establishments other than restaurants with FB certificates

An election was held for the City of Bovina, Parmer County, on May 9, 2015, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 100 FOR and 94 AGAINST. The City of Bovina, Parmer County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

A countywide election was held for Shackelford County on May 9, 2015, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue FAILED by a vote of 347 FOR and 550 AGAINST. Shackelford County was only “wet” in part for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election remains only “wet” in part for such sales.

A countywide election was held for Shackelford County on May 9, 2015, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue FAILED by a vote of 403 FOR and 492 AGAINST. Before the election Shackelford County was “dry” for all mixed beverage sales and after the election remains “dry” for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Ore City, Upshur County on May 9, 2015, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 99 FOR and 74 AGAINST. The City of Ore City, Upshur County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.