

FY 2013 Local Option Elections

Elections Held November 6, 2012

An election was held for the City of Valley Mills, Bosque County, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 270 FOR and 105 AGAINST. The City of Valley Mills, Bosque County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine.

An election was held for the City of Henrietta, Clay County, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 760 FOR and 211 AGAINST. The City of Henrietta, Clay County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Prosper, Collin and Denton Counties, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue FAILED by a vote of 2,338 FOR and 2,507 AGAINST. The City of Prosper, Collin and Denton Counties, was “wet” only for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption and for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants hold a food and beverage certificate before the election and after the election remains “wet” only for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Frisco, Collin and Denton Counties, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 33,610 FOR and 9,290 AGAINST. Before the election, thanks to annexations, portions of the City of Frisco, Collin and Denton Counties, were “dry” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption. Now after the election, the City of Frisco, Collin and Denton Counties, is “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Frisco, Collin and Denton Counties, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 31,007 FOR and 11,755 AGAINST. Before the election, thanks to annexations, portions of the City of Frisco, Collin and Denton Counties, were “dry” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with food and beverage certificates. Now after the election, the City of Frisco, Collin and Denton Counties, is “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Gatesville, Coryell County, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 1,357 FOR and 913 AGAINST. The City of Gatesville, Coryell County, was “wet” only in part for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises

consumption before the election and after the election is now “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Gatesville, Coryell County, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 1,445 FOR and 828 AGAINST. The City of Gatesville, Coryell County, was “dry” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with food and beverage certificates before the election and after the election is now “wet” for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Balch Springs, Dallas County, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 2,172 FOR and 1,660 AGAINST. The City of Balch Springs, Dallas County, was “wet” only for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption and for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with food and beverage certificates before the election, and after the election is now also “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages, including distilled spirits, for off-premises consumption only.

An election was held for the City of Cedar Hill, Dallas and Ellis Counties, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 10,692 FOR and 7,272 AGAINST. The City of Cedar Hill, Dallas and Ellis Counties, was “wet” only in part for the sale of mixed beverages before the election and after the election remains partially “wet” for such sales but is “wet” throughout for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Seagoville, Dallas and Kaufman Counties, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 1,495 FOR and 1,316 AGAINST. The City of Seagoville, Dallas and Kaufman Counties, was “wet” only for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants holding food and beverage certificates before the election and after the election is now also “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Aubrey, Denton County, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 546 FOR and 326 AGAINST. Before the election, the City of Aubrey, Denton County, was “wet” only for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption and for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with food and beverage certificates. Now after the election, the City of Aubrey, Denton County is “wet” for all alcoholic beverage sales, including the sale of mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Sanger, Denton County, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 1,181 FOR and 725 AGAINST. Before the election, the City of Sanger, Denton County, was “wet” only for the sale of beer and

wine for off-premises consumption and for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants holding a food and beverage certificate. After the election, the City of Sanger, Denton County, remains “wet” for such sales but is now also “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 4, Eastland County, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 1,607 FOR and 1,123 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 4, Eastland County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 4, Eastland County, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 1,687 FOR and 1,059 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 4, Eastland County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants hold food and beverage certificates.”

An election was held for the City of Eastland, Eastland County, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 604 FOR and 602 AGAINST. The City Eastland, Eastland County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Eastland, Eastland County, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 692 FOR and 513 AGAINST. The City Eastland, Eastland County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with a food and beverage certificate.

An election was held for the City of Milford, Ellis County, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 161 FOR to 62 AGAINST. The City of Milford, Ellis County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An countywide election was held for Freestone County on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 4,358 FOR and 2,913 AGAINST. Before the election, Freestone County was “wet” only in part for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption. After the election, Freestone county is “wet” throughout for such sales.

An countywide election was held for Freestone County on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage

certificate holders only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 4,285 FOR and 2,492 AGAINST. Before the election, Freestone County was “dry” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with food and beverage certificates. After the election, Freestone county is “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Southmayd, Grayson County, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 129 FOR and 64 AGAINST. The City of Southmayd, Grayson County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Lakeport, Gregg County, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 224 FOR and 129 AGAINST. The City of Lakeport, Gregg County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Lakeport, Gregg County, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 249 FOR and 115 AGAINST. The City of Lakeport, Gregg County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants holding a food and beverage certificate.

An election was held for the City of Petersburg, Hale County, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 187 FOR and 114 AGAINST. The City of Petersburg, Hale County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 1, Hamilton County, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 1,677 FOR and 837 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 1, Hamilton County, was only “wet” in part for the sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages, before the election and after the election is “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Lumberton, Hardin County, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 2,967 FOR and 1,865 AGAINST. The City of Lumberton, Hardin County, was “wet” only in part for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election is now “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Lumberton, Hardin County, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage

certificate holders only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 3,243 FOR and 1,641 AGAINST. The City of Lumberton, Hardin County, was “wet” only in part for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with food and beverage certificates before the election and after the election is now “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Buda, Hays County, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 1,990 FOR and 522 AGAINST. Before the election, the City of Buda, Hays County, was “wet” only for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption and for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants holding food and beverage certificates. After the election, the City of Buda, Hays County, is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Malakoff, Henderson County, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 285 FOR and 263 AGAINST. Before the election, the City of Malakoff, Henderson County, was “wet” only for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption and for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants holding a food and beverage certificate. After the election, the City of Malakoff, Henderson County, remains “wet” for such sales but is now also “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Chandler, Henderson County, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 589 FOR and 425 AGAINST. The City of Chandler, Henderson County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Chandler, Henderson County, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 617 FOR and 401 AGAINST. The City of Chandler, Henderson County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with a food and beverage certificate.

An election was held for the City of Trinidad, Henderson County, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 203 FOR and 107 AGAINST. The City of Trinidad, Henderson County, as “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Abbott, Hill County, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 100 FOR and 25 AGAINST. Before the election, the City of Abbott, Hill County, was “wet” only for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises

consumption. After the election, the City of Abbott, Hill County, is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 1, Hood County, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only. The issue PASSED by a vote of 2,626 FOR and 1,420 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 1, Hood County, was only “wet” in part for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and now after the election is “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Sulphur Springs, Hopkins County, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 2,469 FOR and 2,008 AGAINST. The City of Sulphur Springs, Hopkins County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Sulphur Springs, Hopkins County, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 2,759 FOR and 1,663 AGAINST. The City of Sulphur Springs, Hopkins County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with a food and beverage certificate.

An election was held for the City of Munday, Knox County, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The Issue PASSED by a vote of 236 FOR and 144 AGAINST. The City of Munday, Knox County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Tahoka, Lynn County, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 427 FOR and 291 AGAINST. The City Tahoka, Lynn County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 1, Robertson County, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 1,090 FOR and 413 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 1, Robertson County, was “wet” only in part for the sale of beer and wine before the election, and after the election is now “wet” throughout for the sale of beer and wine.

An election was held for the City of Winters, Runnels County, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 502 FOR and 149 AGAINST. The City of Winters, Runnels County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Winters, Runnels County, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 498 FOR and 154 AGAINST. The City of Winters, Runnels County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with a food and beverage certificate.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 1, Sabine County, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 1,368 FOR and 678 AGAINST. Justice of Peace Precinct 1, Sabube County was “dry” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and now after the election is “wet” for such sales.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 1, Sabine County, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 1,389 FOR and 684 AGAINST. Justice of Peace Precinct 1, Sabine County was “dry” for was the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with a food and beverage certificate before the election and now after the election is “wet” throughout for such sales.

A countywide election was held for Sherman County on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 582 FOR and 431 AGAINST. Sherman County was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 2, Smith County, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 16,695 FOR and 8,850 AGAINST. Justice of Peace Precinct 2, Smith County was “dry” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and now after the election is “wet” for such sales.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 2, Smith County, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 17,138 FOR and 6,772 AGAINST. Justice of Peace Precinct 2, Smith County was “wet” only in part for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with a food and beverage certificate before the election and now after the election is “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Tyler, Smith County, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 22,767 FOR and 8,450 AGAINST. The City of Tyler, Smith County was “dry” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and now after the election is “wet” for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Tyler, Smith County, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 21,225 FOR and 10,670 AGAINST. The City of Tyler, Smith County, was for the most part “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with a food and beverage certificate before the election and now after the election is “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Graham, Young County, on November 6, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue FAILED by a vote of 1,420 FOR and 1,734 AGAINST. The City of Graham, Young County, was “dry” before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

Elections Held May 11, 2013

A countywide election was held for Armstrong County on May 11, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 315 FOR and 146 AGAINST. Armstrong County was “dry” for all alcoholic beverages sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Linden, Cass County, on May 11, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 511 FOR and 191 AGAINST. The City of Linden, Cass County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for all alcoholic beverages sales, including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Lowry Crossing, Collin County, on May 11, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue FAILED by a vote of 108 FOR and 168 AGAINST. Before the election, the City of Lowry Crossing, Collin County, was for the most part “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only and after the election remains mostly “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.

An election was held for the City of Plano, Collin and Denton Counties, on May 11, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 12,325 FOR and 6,592 AGAINST. Before the election, the City of Plano, Collin and Denton Counties, was “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption and for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by holders of food and beverage certificate holders. After the election, it remains “wet” for such sales and is now also “wet” for off-premises sales of distilled spirits and of other liquors with alcohol content in excess 17% by volume.

An election was held for the City of Josephine, Collin and Hunt Counties, on May 11, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption

only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 109 FOR and 37 AGAINST. The City of Josephine, Collin and Hunt Counties, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 4, Cooke County, on May 11, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 750 FOR and 263 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 4, Cooke County, was ”wet” in part for the sale all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages, before the election, and after the election is “wet” throughout for such sales.

A countywide election was held for Crosby County on May 11, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 473 FOR and 215 AGAINST. Crosby County was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Mount Vernon, Franklin County, on May 11, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 258 FOR and 230 AGAINST. The City of Mount Vernon, Franklin County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Mount Vernon, Franklin County, on May 11, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 265 FOR and 221 AGAINST. The City of Mount Vernon, Franklin County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants hold food and beverage certificates.

An election was held for the City of Pampa, Gray County, on May 11, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 1,235 FOR and 293 AGAINST. Before the election, the City of Pampa, Gray County was “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption and for the sale of beer and wine for on-premises consumption. After the election, it remains “wet” for such sales and is now also “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants holding of food and beverage certificates.

An election was held for the City of Longview, Gregg and Harrison Counties, on May 11, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 2,018 FOR and 1,180 AGAINST. The City of Longview, Gregg and Harrison Counties, was only partially “wet” for the sale of beer

and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election is now “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Murchison, Henderson County, on May 11, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue FAILED by a vote of 51 FOR and 69 AGAINST. The City of Murchison, Henderson County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election remains “dry” for all alcoholic beverages sales.

An election was held for the City of Campbell, Hunt County, on May 11, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue FAILED by a vote of 32 FOR and 65 AGAINST. The City of Campbell, Hunt County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election remains “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales.

An election was held for the City of Three Rivers, Live Oak County, on May 11, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 100 FOR and 66 AGAINST. The City of Three Rivers, Live Oak County, was “wet” only for the sale of beer before the election and after the election is now also “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Three Rivers, Live Oak County, on May 11, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 117 FOR and 56 AGAINST. The City of Three Rivers, Live Oak County, was “wet” only for the sale of beer before the election and after the election is now also “wet” for the sale mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

A countywide election was held for Ochiltee County on May 11, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 814 FOR and 721 AGAINST. Ochiltee County was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Tatum, Panola and Rusk Counties, on May 11, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of a beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 84 FOR and 45 AGAINST. The City of Tatum, Panola and Rusk Counties, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sale before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for Justice of Peace Precinct 2, Polk County, on May 11, 2012, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue FAILED by a vote of 110 FOR and 188 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 2, Polk County, was “wet” only for the sale of beer and wine for off-

premises consumption and for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by holders of food and beverage certificates before the election, and after the election remains “wet” only for such sales.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 2, Sabine County, on May 11, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 307 FOR and 148 AGAINST. Before the election, Justice of the Peace Precinct 2, Sabine County, was partially “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption and for the sale of beer for on-premises consumption. After the election, Justice of the Peace Precinct 2, Sabine County, remains partially “wet” for the sale of beer for on-premises consumption but is “wet” throughout for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Whitehouse, Smith County, on May 11, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 503 FOR and 478 AGAINST. Before the election, the City of Whitehouse, Smith County, was “wet” only for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants holding Food and Beverage certificates. After the election, the City Whitehouse is also “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Buffalo Gap, Taylor County, on May 11, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 76 FOR and 25 AGAINST. Before the election, the City of Buffalo Gap, Taylor County, was “wet” in part for the sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages, and after the election is now “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 3, Wichita County, on May 11, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 823 FOR and 739 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 3, Wichita County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 3, Wichita County, on May 11, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 829 FOR and 736 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 3, Wichita County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 2, Yoakum County, on May 11, 2013, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue PASSED by a vote of 464 FOR and 322 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 2, Yoakum County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverages sales before the election, and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Denver City, Yoakum County, on May 11, 2013, on the issue of "the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only." The issue PASSED by a vote of 303 FOR and 236 AGAINST. The City of Denver City, Yoakum County, was "dry" for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now "wet" for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.