

## **FY 2010 Local Option Elections**

During the fiscal year ending August 31, 2010, a total of 75 local option elections were held for 52 individual jurisdictions. In these elections, 44 local option issues passed and 31 local option issues failed.

The issues for which elections were held included the following: (1) the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only, (2) the legal sale of beer and wine, (3) the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only, (4) the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages, (5) the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only, and (6) the legal sale of mixed beverages.

As a result the elections, 14 jurisdictions remained “dry,” 17 became “wet,” 20 that were already “wet” or “wet in part” authorized additional alcoholic beverage sales, and one jurisdiction that was already “wet” for the sale of some alcohol beverages chose not to authorize sales of additional types of alcoholic beverages.

Summaries for the individual elections held are found below.

### **Elections Held November 3, 2009**

An election was held for the City of Temple, Bell County, on November 3, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue passed by a vote of 2,873 FOR and 1,330 AGAINST. The City of Temple, Bell County, was “wet” only for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption and for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders before the election and now after the election is “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Clifton, Bosque County, on November 3, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue passed by a vote of 336 FOR and 186 AGAINST. The City of Clifton, Bosque County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.”

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 1, Brewster County, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages.” The issue passed by a vote of 456 FOR and 164 AGAINST. Justice of Peace Precinct 1, Brewster County was “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages except mixed beverages before the election and after the election is “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Luling, Caldwell and Guadalupe Counties, on November 3, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue passed by a vote of 342 FOR and 118 AGAINST. The City of Luling, Caldwell and Guadalupe Counties, was “wet” only in part for the sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages, and now after the election is “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Pittsburg, Camp County, on November 3, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 180 FOR and 219 AGAINST. The City of Pittsburg, Camp County, was “dry” before the election and remains “dry” after the election.

An election was held for the City of Pittsburg, Camp County, on November 3, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue failed by a vote of 180 FOR and 219 AGAINST. The City of Pittsburg, Camp County, was “dry” before the election and remains “dry” after the election.

An election was held for the City of Baird, Callahan County, on November 3, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 177 FOR and 126 AGAINST. The City of Baird, Callahan County was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Farmersville, Collin County, on November 3, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 140 FOR and 89 AGAINST. The City of Farmersville, Collin County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Desoto, Dallas County, on November 3, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 3,066 FOR and 1,842 AGAINST. The City of Desoto, Dallas County, was “wet” only for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by holders of food and beverage certificates before the election and now after the election is also “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Sunnyvale, Dallas County, on November 3, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off premise consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 350 FOR and 664 AGAINST. The City of Sunnyvale, Dallas County, was “dry” before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

An election was held for the City of Italy, Ellis County, on November 3, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 178 FOR and 116 AGAINST. The City of Italy, Ellis County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

On election was held for the City of Friendswood, Galveston and Harris Counties, on November 3, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 2,505 FOR and 1,163 AGAINST. The City of Friendswood, Galveston and Harris Counties, was “wet” in part for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election is now “wet” throughout for such sales.

On election was held for the City of Friendswood, Galveston and Harris Counties, on November 3, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 2,648 FOR

and 1,021 AGAINST. The City of Friendswood, Galveston and Harris Counties, was “wet” in part for the sale of mixed beverages before the election and after the election is now “wet” throughout for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of Howe, Grayson County, on November 3, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue passed by a vote of 165 FOR and 85 AGAINST. The City of Howe, Grayson County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Haskell, Haskell County, on November 3, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 458 FOR and 288 AGAINST. The City of Haskell, Haskell County was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Stamford, Haskell and Jones Counties, on November 3, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 287 FOR and 203 AGAINST. The City of Stamford, Jones and Haskell Counties, was “dry” for the sale of mixed beverages before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of Stamford, Haskell and Jones Counties, on November 3, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.” The issue passed by a vote of 285 FOR and 207 AGAINST. The City of Stamford, Haskell and Jones Counties, was “dry” for the sale of mixed beverages before the election and only partially wet for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption. After the election, the City of Stamford, Haskell and Jones Counties, is now “wet” throughout for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Payne Springs, Henderson County, on November 3, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 66 FOR and 48 AGAINST. The City of Payne Springs, Henderson County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now wet for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Cresson, Hood and Johnson Counties, on November 3, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 41 FOR and 10 AGAINST. The City of Cresson, Hood and Johnson Counties, was “wet” in part before the election for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption and after the is now “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Cresson, Hood and Johnson Counties, on November 3, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 44 FOR and 7 AGAINST. The City of Cresson, Hood and Johnson Counties, was “wet” in part before the election for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders and now after the is now “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Quinlan, Hunt County, on November 3, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 74 FOR and 121 AGAINST. The City of Quinlan, Hunt County, was “dry” before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

An election was held for the City of Quinlan, Hunt County, on November 3, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only. The issue failed by a vote of 95 FOR and 102 AGAINST. The City of Quinlan, Hunt County, was “dry” before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

An election was held for the City of Wolfe City, Hunt County, on November 3, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 107 FOR and 112 AGAINST. The City of Wolfe City, Hunt County, was “dry” before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

An election was held for the City of Wolfe City, Hunt County, on November 3, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue failed by a vote of 105 FOR and 115 AGAINST. The City of Wolfe City, Hunt County, was “dry” before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

An election was held for the City of Rio Vista, Johnson County, on November 3, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 133 FOR and 86 AGAINST. The City of Rio Vista, Johnson County, was “wet” only for the sale of beer and wine before the election and now after the election is also “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 1, Kleberg County, on November 3, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue passed by a vote of 287 FOR and 81 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 1, Kleberg County, was “wet” only for the sale of beer before the election and is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Hewitt, McLennan County, on November 3, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 1,141 FOR and 448 AGAINST. The City of Hewitt, McLennan County, was “wet” only in part for the sale of beer for on and off premises consumption before the election and now after the election is “wet” in part for the sale of beer for on-premises consumption and “wet” throughout for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Hewitt, McLennan County, on November 3, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only. The issue passed by a vote of 1,167 FOR and 421 AGAINST. The City of Hewitt, McLennan County, was “wet” only in part for the sale of beer before the election and after the election is now “wet” throughout for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by holders of food and beverage certificates.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 4 as it existed in 1937, Montgomery County, on November 3, 2009, on the issue “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue passed by a vote of 515 FOR and 254 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 4 as it existed in 1937, Montgomery County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.

A countywide election was held for Nolan County on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue passed by a vote of 1,227 FOR and 467 AGAINST. Nolan County was “wet” in part for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election is now “wet” throughout for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Fate, Rockwall County, on November 3, 2009, on the issue of “The legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue failed by a vote of 151 FOR and 202 AGAINST. The City of Fate, Rockwall County, was “wet” only for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption and for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by holders of food and beverage certificates. After the election, it remains “wet” for such sales but for no additional types of alcoholic beverage sales.

An election was held for the City of Pineland, Sabine County, on November 3, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 100 FOR and 48 AGAINST. The City of Pineland, Sabine County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Winona, Smith County, on November 3, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 124 FOR and 106 AGAINST. The City of Winona, Smith County, was “wet” only for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by holders of food and beverage certificates before the election and after the election is now also “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Dalworthington Gardens, Tarrant County, on November 3, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 391 FOR and 155 AGAINST. The City of Dalworthington Gardens, Tarrant County, was “wet” only for the sale of beer, the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption, and the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with food and beverage certificates before the election, and now after the election is also “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Mount Pleasant, Titus County, on November 3, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 490 FOR and 1,148 AGAINST. The City of Mount Pleasant, Titus County, was “dry” before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

An election was held for the City of Mount Pleasant, Titus County, on November 3, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue failed by a vote of 575 FOR and 1,047 AGAINST.

The City of Mount Pleasant, Titus County, was “dry” before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 1, Van Zandt County, on November 3, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 1,001 FOR and 1,442 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 1, Van Zandt County, was “dry” before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 1, Van Zandt County, on November 3, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 943 FOR and 1,476 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 1, Van Zandt County, was “dry” before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 1, Van Zandt County, on November 3, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue failed by a vote of 1,097 FOR and 1,335 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 1, Van Zandt County, was “dry” before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

### **Elections Held May 8, 2010**

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 3, Burleson County, on May 8, 2010, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine.” The issue passed by a vote of 71 FOR and 69 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 3, Burleson County, was “wet” in part for the sale of beer and wine before the election before in election and after the election is “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Jacksonville, Cherokee County, on May 8, 2010, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 816 FOR and 716 AGAINST. The City of Jacksonville, Cherokee County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Jacksonville, Cherokee County, on May 8, 2010, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 875 FOR and 652 AGAINST. The City of Jacksonville, Cherokee County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of Troup, Cherokee and Smith Counties, on May 8, 2010, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 170 FOR and 177 AGAINST. The City of Troup, Cherokee and Smith Counties was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election remains “dry” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Troup, Cherokee and Smith Counties, on May 8, 2010, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption

only.” The issue failed by a vote of 167 FOR and 178 AGAINST. The City of Troup, Cherokee and Smith Counties was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election remains “dry” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Troup, Cherokee and Smith Counties, on May 8, 2010, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 184 FOR and 160 AGAINST. The City of Troup, Cherokee and Smith Counties was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of Seagoville, Dallas and Kaufman Counties, on May 8, 2010, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 555 FOR and 705 AGAINST. The City of Seagoville, Dallas and Kaufman Counties, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverages sales before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

An election was held for the City of Seagoville, Dallas and Kaufman Counties, on May 8, 2010, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 640 FOR and 610 AGAINST. The City of Seagoville, Dallas and Kaufman Counties, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverages sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of Red Oak, Ellis County, on May 8, 2010, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 515 FOR and 299 AGAINST. The City of Red Oak, Ellis County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Brownsboro, Henderson County, on May 8, 2010, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 72 FOR and 92 AGAINST. The City of Brownsboro, Henderson County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 4, Hood County, on May 8, 2010, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 1,137 FOR and 273 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 4, Hood County, was “wet” in part for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election is “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 4, Hood County, on May 8, 2010, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverage in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 1,153 FOR and 253 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 4, Hood County, was “wet” in part for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders before the election and after the election is “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Kirbyville, Jasper County, on May 8, 2010, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 72 FOR and 181 AGAINST. The City of Kirbyville, Jasper County was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

An election was held for the City of Kirbyville, Jasper County, on May 8, 2010, on the issue “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue failed by a vote of 75 FOR and 185 AGAINST. The City of Kirbyville, Jasper County was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 1, Kaufman County, on May 8, 2010, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 235 FOR and 207 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 1, Kaufman County was “wet” in part for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election is “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Robinson, McLennan County, on May 8, 2010, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 463 FOR and 255 AGAINST. The City of Robinson, McLennan County, was “wet” only for the sale of beer before the election and after the election is “wet” for the sale of beer for both on and off premises consumption and for the sale of wine for off-premises consumption only.

An election was held for the City of Dawson, Navarro County, on May 8, 2010, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages. The issue passed by a vote of 95 FOR and 64 AGAINST. The City of Dawson, Navarro County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Eureka, Navarro County, on May 8, 2010, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 45 FOR and 63 AGAINST. The City of Eureka, Navarro County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and remains “dry.”

An election was held for Oldham County on May 8, 2010, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 226 FOR and 240 AGAINST. Oldham County was “wet” only in part for the sale of beer for off-premises consumption before the election, and after the remains “wet” in part for such sales.

An election was held for Oldham County on May 8, 2010, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 262 FOR and 190 AGAINST. Oldham County was “dry” for mixed beverages before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders. (The county was also previously “wet” in part for the sale of beer for off-premises consumption, and remains “wet” in part for such sales after the election).



An election was held for the City of Point, Rains County, on May 8, 2010, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 71 FOR and 42 AGAINST. The City of Point, Rains County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 3, Shelby County, on May 8, 2010, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 147 FOR 273 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 3, Shelby County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 3, Shelby County, on May 8, 2010, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue failed by a vote of 143 FOR 272 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 3, Shelby County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

An election was held for the City of Colleyville, Tarrant County, on May 8, 2010, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 2,718 FOR and 930 AGAINST. The City of Colleyville, Tarrant County, was “wet” only for the sale beer and wine for off-premises consumption and for the sale of mixed beverages before the election, and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption and for the sale of mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Abilene, Taylor County, on May 8, 2010, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages. The issue passed by a vote of 1743 FOR and 1370 AGAINST. The City of Abilene, Taylor County, was for the most part “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages before the election and after the election is now “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 2, Tyler County, on May 8, 2010, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 418 FOR and 420 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 2, Tyler County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 2, Tyler County, on May 8, 2010, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue failed by a vote of 413 FOR and 426 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 2, Tyler County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 3, Uvalde County, on May 8, 2010, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 177 FOR and 190 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 3, Uvalde County, was “dry” before the election and after the election remains “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales.

An election was held for the City of Fruitvale, Van Zandt County, on May 8, 2010, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 29 FOR and 53 AGAINST. The City of Fruitvale, Van Zandt County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

An election was held for the City of Fruitvale, Van Zandt County, on May 8, 2010, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue failed by a vote of 28 FOR and 54 AGAINST. The City of Fruitvale, Van Zandt County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

An election was held for the City of Fruitvale, Van Zandt County, on May 8, 2010, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 28 FOR and 54 AGAINST. The City of Fruitvale, Van Zandt County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

An election was held for the City of Grand Saline, Van Zandt County, on May 8, 2010 on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 212 FOR and 263 AGAINST. The City of Grand Saline, Van Zandt County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

An election was held for the City of Grand Saline, Van Zandt County, on May 8, 2010 on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue failed by a vote of 235 FOR and 241 AGAINST. The City of Grand Saline, Van Zandt County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

An election was held for the City of Grand Saline, Van Zandt County, on May 8, 2010 on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 204 FOR and 268 AGAINST. The City of Grand Saline, Van Zandt County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

An election was held for the City of Shamrock, Wheeler County, on May 8, 2010, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.” The issue passed by a vote of 317 FOR and 210 AGAINST. The City of Shamrock, Wheeler County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Newark, Wise County, on May 8, 2010, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.” The issue passed by a vote of 135 FOR and 35 AGAINST. The City of Newark, Wise County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.