

Local Option Elections
Fiscal Year 2009
(September 1, 2008 – August 31, 2009)

There were 83 local option elections held in 61 jurisdictions during the fiscal year that ended August 31, 2009. Elections to authorize the sale of alcoholic beverages were called for each of the following issues: (1) The legal sale of beer; (2) The legal sale of beer and wine; (3) The legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only; (4) The legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off premises consumption only; (5) The legal sale of all alcoholic beverages except mixed beverages; (6) The legal sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages; and (7) The legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only. A prohibitory election was also called on the issue of "For or Against the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages."

As a result of these elections, several of which were held the same day for the same jurisdictions, 49 jurisdictions authorized or expanded alcoholic beverages sales and 12 jurisdictions retained their previous status.

The details of the elections are as follow:

Elections Held November 4, 2008

An election was held for Bailey County on the issue of "the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only." The issue failed by a vote of 1,009 FOR and 1,241 AGAINST. Bailey County was "dry" for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election remains "dry" for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Iredell, Bosque County, on November 4, 2008, on the issue of "the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only." The issue passed by a vote of 106 FOR and 60 AGAINST. The City of Iredell, Bosque County, was "dry" for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now "wet" for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Morgan, Bosque County, on November 4, 2008 on the issue of "the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only." The issue passed by a vote of 60 FOR and 44 AGAINST. The City of Morgan, Bosque County, was "dry" for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now "wet" for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Nash, Bowie County, on November 4, 2008, on the issue of "the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages." The issue failed by a vote of 220 FOR and 406 AGAINST. The City of Nash, Bowie County, was "dry" for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election remains "dry" for such sales.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 5 as it existed in 1958, Brazoria County, on November 4, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of Beer.” The issue passed by a vote of 1,143 FOR and 763 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 5 as it existed in 1958, Brazoria County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverages sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 5 as it existed in 1958, Brazoria County, on November 4, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 1,191 FOR and 702 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 5 as it existed in 1958, Brazoria County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverages sales before the election and after the election is “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 5 as it existed in 1958, Brazoria County, on November 4, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.” The issue passed by a vote of 1,227 FOR and 669 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 5 as it existed in 1958, Brazoria County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverages sales before the election and after the election is “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for Childress County on November 4, 2008. The issue for which the election was called was “For or Against the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.” Voters chose to retain their previously established wet/dry status by a vote of 1,420 FOR and 861 AGAINST. Childress County was “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed, before the election and after the election remains “wet” for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Melissa, Collin County, on November 4, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 815 FOR and 828 AGAINST. The City of Melissa, Collin County, was “wet” only for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and after the elections remains “wet” only for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Irving, Dallas County, on November 4, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 26,172 FOR 25,404 AGAINST. The City of Irving was “dry” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and is now “wet” for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Justin, Denton County, on November 4, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.” The issue passed by a vote of 813 FOR and 435 AGAINST. The City of Justin, Denton County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Southlake, Denton and Tarrant Counties, on November 4, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 8,120 FOR and 2,579 AGAINST. The City of Southlake, Denton and Tarrant Counties, was not “wet” for all beer and wine sales for off-premises consumption before the election but after the election is now “wet” for all sales of beer and wine for off-premises consumption throughout the city.

An election was held for the City of Roanoke, Denton & Tarrant Counties, on November 4, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 1,097 FOR and 497 AGAINST. The City of Roanoke, Denton & Tarrant Counties, was “dry” for the sale of mixed beverages before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of Roanoke, Denton & Tarrant Counties, on November 4, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 790 FOR and 790 AGAINST. The City of Roanoke, Denton & Tarrant Counties, was “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and remains wet for such sales. The sale of spirits for off-premises consumption is still prohibited.

An election was held for the City of Cisco, Eastland County, on November 4, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 466 FOR and 590 AGAINST. The City of Cisco, Eastland County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election remains “dry” for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Waxahachie, Ellis County, on November 4, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 2,693 FOR and 2,572 AGAINST. The City of Waxahachie, Ellis County, was “dry” for all alcoholic sales before the election and after the election is “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Waxahachie, Ellis County, on November 4, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.” The issue passed by a vote of 3,157 FOR and 2,030 AGAINST. The City of Waxahachie, Ellis County, was “dry” for all alcoholic sales before the election and after the election is “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for Erath County on November 4, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 8,433 FOR and 4,533 AGAINST. Erath County was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Streetman, Freestone and Navarro Counties, on November 4, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 38 FOR and 8 AGAINST. The City of Streetman, Freestone and Navarro Counties, was

“wet” in large part for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election was “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Coffee City, Henderson County, on November 4, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 48 FOR and 45 AGAINST. The City of Coffee, Henderson County, was “dry” for mixed beverages before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of Malakoff, Henderson County, on November 4, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issues passed by a vote of 332 FOR and 316 AGAINST. The City of Malakoff, Henderson County, was “dry” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the such sales.

An election was held for the City of Malakoff, Henderson County, on November 4, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.” The issues passed by a vote of 383 FOR and 248 AGAINST. The City of Malakoff, Henderson County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held of Precinct 4CC, Henderson County, on November 4, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine.” The issue passed by a vote of 402 FOR and 339 AGAINST. Precinct 4CC, Henderson County, was “wet” only for sales for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for both on and off premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Carl’s Corner, Hill County, on November 4, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.” The issue passed by a vote of 33 FOR and 9 AGAINST. The City of Carl’s Corner, Hill County was mostly “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages, before the election and after the elections is “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Covington, Hill County, on November 4, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.” The issue passed by a vote of 58 FOR and 52 AGAINST. The City of Covington, Hill County, was “wet” only for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Greenville, Hunt County, on November 4, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 4,219 FOR and 3,239 AGAINST. The City of Greenville, Hunt County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sale before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Greenville, Hunt County, on November 4, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 4,714 FOR and 2,712 AGAINST. The City of Greenville, Hunt County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of Keene, Johnson County, on November 4, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 404 FOR and 1,062 AGAINST. The City of Keene, Johnson County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election remains “dry” for such sales.

An election was held by court order for the City of Rio Vista, Johnson County, on November 4, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 161 FOR and 178 AGAINST. The City was “wet” only for the sale of beer and wine before the election and after the election remains “wet” only for the sale of beer and wine.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 4, Leon County, on November 4, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages except mixed beverages.” The issue passed by a vote of 1,093 FOR and 686 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 4, Leon County, was “wet” in part for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only before the election and after the election is now “wet throughout for the sale of all alcoholic beverages except mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Lorena, McLennan County, on November 4, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 535 FOR and 207 AGAINST. The City of Lorena, McLennan County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Lorena, McLennan County, on November 4, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 535 FOR and 207 AGAINST. The City of Lorena, McLennan County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverage in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for Mitchell County on November 4, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue passed by a vote of 1,161 FOR and 988 AGAINST. Mitchell County was “wet” in part for the sale of beer and wine before the election and was also “wet” in part for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption. After the election, the county is now wet throughout for the sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 1, Palo Pinto County, on November 4, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 925 FOR and 511 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 1, Palo Pinto County, was “wet” only in part for the sale of beer for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election is “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Weatherford, Parker County, on November 4, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 5,921 FOR and 3,038 AGAINST. The City of Weatherford, Parker County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Weatherford, Parker County, on November 4, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificated holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 5,093 FOR and 3,889 AGAINST. The City of Weatherford, Parker County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for Scurry County on November 4, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.” The issue passed by a vote of 3,078 FOR and 2,330 AGAINST. Scurry County was “wet” for the sale of beer and wine before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Albany, Shackelford County, on November 4, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 373 FOR and 481 AGAINST. The City of Albany, Shackelford County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election remains “dry” for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Tulia, Swisher County, on November 4, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 806 FOR and 535 AGAINST. The City of Tulia, Swisher County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for Terry County on November 4, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 2,042 FOR and 1,722 AGAINST. Terry County was “dry” for all alcoholic beverage sales before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Fort Worth, Tarrant County, on November 4, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 94,136 FOR and 56,740 AGAINST. The City of Fort Worth, Tarrant County, was “wet” only in part for

the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election is “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Fort Worth, Tarrant County, on November 4, 2008, on the issue of the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only “.” The issue passed by a vote of 109,943 FOR and 45,645 AGAINST. The City of Fort Worth, Tarrant County, was “wet” only in part for the sale of mixed beverages before the election and after the election is “wet” throughout for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of Granger, Williamson County, on November 4, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 315 FOR and 98 AGAINST. The City of Granger, Williamson County, was “wet” for all alcoholic beverage sales except mixed beverages before the election and after the election is now also “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of Bridgeport, Wise County, on November 4, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.” The issue passed by a vote of 743 FOR and 289 AGAINST. The City of Bridgeport, Wise, county was “wet” in part for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages, before the election and after the election is now “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Olney, Young County, on November 4, 2008, on the the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.” The issue failed by a vote of 572 FOR and 581 AGAINST. The City of Olney, Young County, was “dry” for all alcoholic beverages sales before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

Elections Held May 9, 2009

An election was held for the City of Megargel, Archer County, on May 9, 2009, the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine.” The issue passed by a vote of 15 FOR and 12 AGAINST. The City of Megargel, Archer County, was “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election is now also “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for on-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Muleshoe, Bailey County, on May 9, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 414 FOR and 543 AGAINST. The City of Muleshoe, Bailey County, was “dry” before the election and remains “dry” after the election.

An election was held for the City of Nolanville, Bell County, on May 9, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue passed by a vote of 126 FOR and 73 AGAINST. The City of Nolanville, Bell County, was “wet” only for the sale of beer and wine for off-

premises consumption before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Meridian, Bosque County, on May 9, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.” The issue passed by a vote of 135 FOR and 128 AGAINST. The City of Meridian, Bosque County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Skellytown, Carson County, on May 9, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 131 FOR and 22 AGAINST. The City of Skellytown, Carson County, was “dry” before the election and is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Rusk, Cherokee County, on May 9, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 356 FOR and 326 AGAINST. The City of Rusk, Cherokee County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Rusk, Cherokee County, on May 9, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 379 FOR and 301 AGAINST. The City of Rusk, Cherokee County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of Melissa, Collin County, on May 9, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages except mixed beverages.” The issue failed by a vote of 490 FOR and 705 AGAINST. The City of Melissa, Collin County, was “wet” only for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption and for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders before the election and after the election remains “wet” only for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Princeton, Collin County, on May 9, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 231 FOR and 192 AGAINST. The City of Princeton, Collin County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of De Leon, Comanche County on May 9, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue failed by a vote of 265 FOR and 267 AGAINST. The City of De Leon, Comanche County was “dry” before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

An election was held for the City of Glenn Heights, Dallas and Ellis Counties, on May 9, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed

by a vote of 304 FOR and 288 AGAINST. The City of Glenn Heights, Dallas and Ellis Counties, was “wet” only for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders before the election and after the election, in addition to such sales, is also “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 2, Denton County, on May 9, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.” The issue passed by a vote of 643 FOR and 474 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 2, Denton County was “wet” only for the sale of beer and wine before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Westlake, Denton and Tarrant Counties, on May 9, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 65 FOR and 50 AGAINST. The City of Westlake, Denton and Tarrant Counties, was “wet” in part for the sale of wine for off-premises consumption and for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders before the election and after the election is “wet” throughout for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Westlake, Denton and Tarrant Counties, on May 9, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 102 FOR and 14 AGAINST. The City of Westlake, Denton and Tarrant Counties, was “wet” in part for the sale of wine for off-premises consumption and for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders before the election and after the election is “wet” throughout for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 3, Hays County, on May 9, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue passed by a vote of 1,133 FOR and 234 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 3, Hays County, was “wet” only for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Cleburne, Johnson County, on May 9, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 1,665 FOR and 927 AGAINST. The City of Cleburne, Johnson County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Cleburne, Johnson County, on May 9, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 1,735 FOR and 845 AGAINST. The City of Cleburne, Johnson County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of Rio Vista, Johnson County, on May 9, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages except mixed beverages.” The issue passed by a vote of 166 FOR and 153 AGAINST. The City of Rio Vista, Johnson County, was “wet” only for the sale of beer and wine before the election and after the election is “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages except mixed Beverages. (NOTE: Election results overturned by State District Court due to irregularities in application for petition. As result of the court’s action, Rio Vista returned to the status held before the election.)

An election was held for the City of Paris, Lamar County, on May 9, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 1,627 FOR and 1,396 AGAINST. The City of Paris, Lamar County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Paris, Lamar County, on May 9, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 1,711 FOR and 1,301 AGAINST. The City of Paris, Lamar County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of Reno, Lamar County, on May 9, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 247 FOR and 463 AGAINST. The City of Reno, Lamar County, was “dry” before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 1, Lampasas County, on May 9, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 477 FOR and 214 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 1, Lampasas County, was “wet” only in part for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election is now “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Buffalo, Leon County, on May 9, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 181 FOR and 160 AGAINST. The City of Buffalo, Leon County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Buffalo, Leon County, on May 9, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 186 FOR and 151 AGAINST. The City of Buffalo, Leon County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for Lubbock County on May 9, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 32,313 FOR and 17,864 AGAINST. Lubbock County was “wet” only in part for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election is now “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for Lubbock County on May 9, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 34,560 FOR and 15,191 AGAINST. Lubbock County was “wet” only in part for the sale of mixed beverages before the election and after the election is now “wet” throughout for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by holders of food and beverage certificates.

An election was held for the City of Saint Jo, Montague County, on May 9, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 135 FOR and 96 AGAINST. The City of Saint Jo, Montague County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Saint Jo, Montague County, on May 9, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 130 FOR and 99 AGAINST. The City of Saint Jo, Montague County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Saint Jo, Montague County, on May 9, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 142 FOR and 89 AGAINST. The City of Saint Jo, Montague County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of Emory, Rains County, on May 9, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 246 FOR and 116 AGAINST. The City of Emory, Rains County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Emory, Rains County, on May 9, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 248 FOR and 111 AGAINST. The City of Emory, Rains County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City Hearne, Robertson County, on May 9, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.” The issue passed by a vote of 676 FOR and 309 AGAINST. The City Hearne, Robertson County, was partially “wet” for the sale of beer for on-premises consumption and for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption before

the election and after the election is now “wet” throughout for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 4, Smith County, on May 9, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 1,311 FOR and 1,461 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 4, Smith County, was “dry” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election remains “dry” for such sales.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 4, Smith County, on May 9, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue past by a vote of 1,400 FOR and 1,358 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 4, Smith County, was “dry” for mixed beverages sales before the election, and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of Winona, Smith County, on May 9, 2009, on the issue “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 94 FOR and 94 AGAINST. The City of Winona, Smith County, was “dry” before the election and after the election remains “dry” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption. RESULTS OVERTURNED BY COURT. NEW ELECTION ORDERED FOR 11/03/2009.

An election was held for the City of Winona, Smith County, on May 9, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 97 FOR and 90 AGAINST. The City of Winona, Smith County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet’ for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of Buffalo Gap, Taylor County, on May 9, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue failed by a vote of 48 FOR and 70 AGAINST. The City of Buffalo Gap “was” wet for the sale of all alcoholic beverages except mixed beverages and after the election remains wet only for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Wills Point, Van Zandt County, on May 9, 2009, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 464 FOR and 276 AGAINST. The City of Wills Point, Van Zandt County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.