

**FY 2008 Local Option Elections
(September 1, 2007 – August 31, 2008)**

November 6, 2007

An election was held for the City of Burke, Angelina County, on November 6, 2007. Registered voters were asked to vote "For or Against the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only." The vote totals were 11 FOR and 47 AGAINST. As a consequence of the election, the City of Burke, Angelina County, which was "wet" for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants and for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election, is now "dry" for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption and "wet" only for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with food and beverage certificates.

An election was held for the City of Diboll, Angelina County, on November 6, 2007. Registered voters were asked to vote "For or Against the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only." The vote totals were 201 FOR and 138 AGAINST. As a consequence of the election, the City of Diboll, Angelina County, which was "wet" for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants and for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election, remains "wet" for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Hudson, Angelina County, on November 6, 2007. Registered voters were asked to vote "For or Against the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only." The vote totals were 243 FOR and 199 AGAINST. As a consequence of the election, the City of Hudson, Angelina County, which was "wet" for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants and for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election, remains "wet" for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Huntington, Angelina County, on November 6, 2007. Registered voters were asked to vote "For or Against the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only." The vote totals were 167 FOR and 116 AGAINST. As a consequence of the election, the City of Huntington, Angelina County, which was "wet" for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants and for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election, remains "wet" for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Zavalla, Angelina County, on November 6, 2007. Registered voters were asked to vote "For or Against the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only." The vote totals were 115 FOR and 41 AGAINST. As a consequence of the election, the City of Zavalla, Angelina County, which was "wet" for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants and for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election, remains "wet" for such sales.

An election was held for the Village of Salado, Bell County, on November 6, 2007, on the issue of "the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only." The issue passed by a vote of 485 FOR and 135 AGAINST. The Village of Salado, Bell

County, was “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with a food and beverage certificate before the election and after the election is now also “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for Brazoria County on November 6, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The vote passed by a vote of 15,603 FOR and 5,856 AGAINST. Brazoria County was “wet” in part for all alcoholic beverages sales, including the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption, prior to the election and now after the election “wet” throughout for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for Brazoria County on November 6, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The vote passed by a vote of 15,725 FOR and 5,777 AGAINST. Brazoria County was “wet” in part for all alcoholic beverages sales, including the sale of mixed beverages, prior to the election and now after the election “wet” throughout for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by holders of a food and beverage certificate.

An election was held for Childress County on November 6, 2007, on the issue of the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue passed by a vote of 789 FOR to 782 AGAINST. Childress County was “wet” in part for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Anna, Collin County, on November 6, 2007, on the issue “to prohibit the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 295 FOR and 991 AGAINST. The City of Anna, Collin County was “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages, before the election and now after the election remains “wet” for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Royse City, Collin, Hunt, and Rockwall Counties, on November 6, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 470 FOR and 424 AGAINST. The City of Royse City, Collin, Hunt, and Rockwall Counties, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Cockrell Hill, Dallas County, on November 6, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 249 FOR and 164 AGAINST. The City of Cockrell Hill, Dallas County, was “wet” only for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with a food and beverage certificate before the election and after the election is now also “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Desoto, Dallas County, on November 6, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The

issue failed by a vote of 2,349 FOR and 2,743 AGAINST. The City of Desoto, Dallas County, was “dry” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election remains “dry” for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Desoto, Dallas County, on November 6, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 3,176 FOR and 1,902 AGAINST. The City of Desoto, Dallas County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with a food and beverage certificate.

An election was held for the City of Duncanville, Dallas County, on November 6, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 1,383 FOR and 904 AGAINST. The City of Duncanville, Dallas County, was “wet” only for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election is now also “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with a food and beverage certificate.

An election was held for the City of Mesquite, Dallas and Kaufman Counties, on November 6, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 5,680 FOR and 6,482 AGAINST. The City of Mesquite, Dallas and Kaufman Counties, was “dry” before the election and after the election remains “dry” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Mesquite, Dallas and Kaufman Counties, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 7,235 FOR and 4,866 AGAINST. The City of Mesquite, Dallas and Kaufman Counties, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with a food and beverage certificate.

An election was held for the City of Argyle, Denton County, on November 6, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 415 FOR and 119 AGAINST. The City of Argyle, Denton County, was “dry” before the election and is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Argyle, Denton County, on November 6, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 466 FOR and 152 AGAINST. The City of Argyle, Denton County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with a food and beverage certificate.

An election was held for the City of Corral City, Denton County, on November 6, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.”

The issue passed by a vote of 19 FOR and 0 Against. The City of Corral City, Denton County, was “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace, Precinct 6, Denton County, on November 6, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 1,915 FOR and 782 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace, Precinct 6, Denton County, was “wet” in part for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and is now “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace, Precinct 6, Denton County, on November 6, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 2,077 FOR and 629 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace, Precinct 6, Denton County, was “wet” in part for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with a food and beverage certificate before the election and now after the election is “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Midlothian, Ellis County, on November 6, 2007 on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 1,468 FOR and 1,425 AGAINST. The City of Midlothian, Ellis County, was “dry” before the election and is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Midlothian, Ellis County, on November 6, 2007 on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 1,823 FOR and 1,068 AGAINST. The City of Midlothian, Ellis County, was “dry” before the election and is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with a food and beverage certificate.

An election was held for the City of Plainview, Hale County, on November 6, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue passed by a vote of 2302 FOR and 2266 AGAINST. The City of Plainview, Hale County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.

An election was held of the City of Abernathy, Hale and Lubbock Counties, on November 6, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine.” The issue failed by a vote of 313 FOR and 400 AGAINST. The City of Abernathy, Hale and Lubbock Counties, was “dry” before the election and remains “dry” after the election.

An election was held for the City of Lumberton, Hardin County, on November 6, 2007. Voters were asked to For or Against the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The vote totals were 1,045 FOR and 1,130 AGAINST. The City of Lumberton, Hardin County, which was for the most part “dry” for

the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants before the election, is now “dry” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Lumberton, Hardin County, on November 6, 2007. Voters were asked to vote “For or Against the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The vote totals were 907 FOR and 1,255 AGAINST. The City of Lumberton, Hardin County, which was for the most part “dry” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election, is now “dry” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Lumberton, Hardin County, on November 6, 2007. Registered voters were asked to vote “For or Against the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The vote totals were 941 FOR and 1,240 AGAINST. The City of Lumberton, Hardin County, which was before the election largely “dry” but “wet” in part for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption and for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders, is after the election now “dry” throughout for all alcoholic beverage sales including the sale of mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Wimberley, Hays County, on November 6, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 565 FOR and 206 AGAINST. The City of Wimberley, Hays County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for Houston County on November 6, 2007, on issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 2,502 FOR and 2,009 AGAINST. Houston County was “dry” before the election and is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for Houston County on November 6, 2007, on issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 2,537 FOR and 1,947 AGAINST. Houston County was “dry” before the election and is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with a food and beverage certificate.

An election was held for the City of Edna, Jackson County, on November 6, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 396 FOR and 94 AGAINST. The City of Edna, Jackson County, was “wet” only for the sale of beer and wine before the election and now after the election is also “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with a food and beverage certificate.

An election was held for Mills County on November 6, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote 588 FOR and 872 AGAINST. Mills County is partially wet for the sale of all

alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption and remains partially wet for such sales. The areas in which sales are permitted did not expand as a consequence of this election.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace, Precinct 2, Palo Pinto County, on November 6, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer.” The issue passed by a vote of 85 FOR and 54 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace, Precinct 2, Palo Pinto County, was “wet” only in part— in one town-- for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election remains “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption in the one area where such sales were previously lawful but is now also “wet” throughout for the sale of beer for both on and off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Gordon, Palo Pinto County, on November 6, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 53 FOR and 27 AGAINST. The City of Gordon, Palo Pinto County, was “dry” before the election and is now “wet” for the sale of beer for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 6, Pecos County, on November 6, 2007 on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 50 FOR and 42 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 6, Pecos County was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Fate, Rockwall County, on November 6, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 234 FOR and 198 AGAINST. The City of Fate, Rockwall County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, on November 6, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 2,600 FOR and 1,366 AGAINST. The City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, on November 6, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 2,779 FOR and 1,056 AGAINST. The City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with a food and beverage certificate.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace, Precinct 2, Wichita County, on November 6, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises

consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 1,912 FOR and 1,401 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace, Precinct 2, Wichita County, was “wet” in part for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and is now “wet” throughout for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace, Precinct 2, Wichita County, on November 6, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 2,010 FOR and 1,304 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace, Precinct 2, Wichita County, was “wet” in part for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and is now “wet” throughout for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with a food and beverage certificate.

May 10, 2008

An election was held for the City of Scotland, Archer County, on May 10, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine.” The issue passed by a vote of 16 FOR and 9 AGAINST. The City of Scotland, Archer County, was partially “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption before the election and after election is now “wet” throughout for the sale of beer and wine for both on and off premises consumption. In addition, It also remains “wet” in part for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Pleasanton, Atascosa County, on May 10, 2008 on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 146 FOR and 102 AGAINST. The City of Pleasanton, Atascosa County, was “dry” for mixed beverages before the election and after the election is now wet for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with food and beverage certificates.

An election was held for Cottle County on May 10, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.” The issue passed by a vote of 352 FOR and 207 AGAINST. Cottle County was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Hutchins, Dallas County, on May 10, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 224 FOR and 94 AGAINST. The City of Hutchins, Dallas County was “wet” only for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with food and beverage certificates before the election and after the election is now also “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Wilmer, Dallas County, on May 10, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 173 FOR and 164 AGAINST. The City of Wilmer, Dallas

County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Ennis, Ellis County, on May 10, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 664 FOR and 135 AGAINST. The City of Ennis, Ellis County, was “wet” only for the sale of beer for off-premises consumption before the election and now after the election is “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Ennis, Ellis County, on May 10, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 644 FOR and 141 AGAINST. The City of Ennis, Ellis County was “dry” for mixed beverages before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale in mixed beverages in restaurants with food and beverage certificates.

An election was held for the City of Fairfield, Freestone County, on May 10, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 304 FOR and 391 AGAINST. The City of Fairfield, Freestone County, was “dry” before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

An election was held for the City of Fairfield, Freestone County, on May 10, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue failed by a vote of 284 FOR and 415 AGAINST. The City of Fairfield, Freestone County, was “dry” before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

An election was held for the City of Channing, Hartley County, on May 10, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 75 FOR and 46 AGAINST. The City of Channing, Hartley County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Payne Springs, Henderson County, on May 10, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 86 FOR and 100 AGAINST. The City of Payne Springs, Henderson County was “dry” before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

An election was held for the City of Jasper, Jasper County, on May 10, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 504 FOR and 497 AGAINST. The City of Jasper, Jasper County, was completely “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Jasper, Jasper County, on May 10, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 522 FOR and 408 AGAINST. The City of Jasper, Jasper County, was completely “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages by restaurants with food and beverage certificates.

An election was held for the City of Rio Vista, Johnson County, on May 10, 2008, on the “issue of the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 118 FOR and 116 AGAINST. The City of Rio Vista, Johnson County, was “wet” for the sale of beer and wine before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption. It also remains “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for on-premises consumption. ***(A law suite contesting the results of the May 10, 2008 election has been filed)***

An election was held for the City of Terrell, Kaufman County, on May 10, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 259 FOR and 39 AGAINST. The City of Terrell, Kaufman County, was partially wet for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election is wet throughout for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption. It also remains wet in part for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Terrell, Kaufman County, on May 10, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 262 FOR and 34 AGAINST. The City of Terrell, Kaufman County, was “dry” for mixed beverages before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with a Food and Beverage Certificate.

An election was held for the City of McGregor, McLennan County, on May 10, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue failed by a vote of 206 FOR and 410 AGAINST. The City of McGregor, McLennan County, was “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election remains “wet” but only for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Rockdale, Milam County, on May 10, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages.” The issue passed by a vote of 322 FOR and 127 AGAINST. The City of Rockdale, Milam County, was “dry” for mixed beverages before the election and now after the election is “wet” for mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Carthage, Panola County, on May 10, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue

failed by a vote of 434 FOR and 878 AGAINST. The City of Carthage, Panola County, was “dry” before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

An election was held for the City of Carthage, Panola County, on May 10, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue failed by a vote of 437 FOR and 833 AGAINST. The City of Carthage, Panola County, was “dry” before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

An election was held for the City of Hudson Oaks, Parker County, on May 10, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 103 FOR and 28 AGAINST. The City of Hudson Oaks, Parker County, was “wet” in part for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and now after the election is “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for Sherman County on May 10, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 316 FOR and 323 AGAINST. Sherman County was “dry” before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

An election was held for the City of Tyler, Smith County, on May 10, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 4,520 FOR and 2,141 AGAINST. The City of Tyler, Smith County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants with food and beverage certificates.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace, Precinct 3, Uvalde County, on May 10, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine.” The issue failed by a vote of 162 FOR and 206 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace, Precinct 3, Uvalde County, was “dry” before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

An election was held for the City of Olney, Young County, on May 10, 2008, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 266 FOR and 283 AGAINST. The City of Olney, Young County, was “dry” before the election and remains “dry” after the election.