

**Local Option Elections
Fiscal Year 2007
(September 1, 2006 – August 31, 2007)**

Elections Held November 7, 2006

An election was held for Angelina County on November 7, 2006 on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 11,686 FOR and 9,877 AGAINST. Angelina County was “dry” before the election and after the election, is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for Angelina County on November 7, 2006 on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 12,284 FOR and 9,152 AGAINST. Angelina County was “dry” before the election and after the election, is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for City of Belton, Bell County, on November 7, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 1,781 FOR and 762 AGAINST. The City of Belton, Bell County, was “dry” for mixed beverages before the election and is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the Village of Salado, Bell County, on November 7, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 740 FOR and 226 AGAINST. The Village of Salado, Bell County, was “dry” before the election and is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of West Columbia, Brazoria County, On November 7, 2006 on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 604 FOR and 247 AGAINST. The City of West Columbia, Brazoria County, was “dry” for mixed beverages before the election and is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of Cottonwood Shores, Burnet County, on November 7, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue passed by a vote of 128 FOR and 65 AGAINST. The City of Cottonwood Shores, Burnet County, was “wet” only for the sale of beer for off-premises consumption before the election and is now “wet” for all alcoholic beverage sales.

An election was held for the City of Morton, Cochran County, on November 7, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine.” The issue passed by a vote of 274 FOR

and 261 AGAINST. The City of Morton, Cochran County was “dry” before the election and is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine.

An election was held for City of Allen, Collin County, on November 7, 2006, the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 8,286 FOR and 4,002 AGAINST. The City of Allen, Collin County, was “wet” only in part for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and is now “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Murphy, Collin County, on November 7, 2006, the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 1,070 FOR and 618 AGAINST. The City of Murphy, Collin County, was “dry” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and is now “wet” for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Richardson, Collin and Dallas Counties, on November 7, 2006, the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 6,817 FOR and 3,224 AGAINST. The Richardson, Collin and Dallas Counties, was, was “wet” only in part for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and is now “wet” throughout for the such sales.

An election was held for the City of Callisburg, Cooke County, on November 7, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 41 FOR and 81 AGAINST. The City of Callisburg, Cooke County, was “dry” before the election and remains “dry” after the election.

An election was held for City of Cockrell Hill, Dallas County, on November 7, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue failed by a vote of 200 FOR and 222 AGAINST. The City of Cockrell Hill, Dallas County, was “dry” before the election and remains “dry” after the election.

An election was held for the City of Irving, Dallas County, on November 7, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 13,561 FOR and 14,996 AGAINST. The City of Irving, Dallas County, was “dry” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and remains “dry” for such sales after the election.

An election was held for the City of Lancaster, Dallas County, on November 7, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 2,625 FOR and 3,801 AGAINST. The City of Lancaster, Dallas County, was “dry” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and remains “dry” for such sales after the election.

An election was held for the City of Coppell, Dallas and Denton Counties, on November 7, 2006, the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption

only.” The issue passed by a vote of 6,817 FOR and 3,224 AGAINST. The was City of Coppell, Dallas and Denton Counties, was “dry” before the election and is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Coppell, Dallas and Denton Counties, on November 7, 2006, the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 7,749 FOR and 2,254 AGAINST. The City of Coppell, Dallas and Denton Counties, was “dry” before the election and is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of Glenn Heights, Dallas and Ellis Counties, on November 7, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 607 FOR and 894 AGAINST. The City of Glenn Heights, Dallas and Ellis Counties, was “dry” before the election and remains “dry” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Glenn Heights, Dallas and Ellis Counties, on November 7, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 929 FOR and 549 AGAINST. The City of Glenn Heights, Dallas and Ellis Counties, was “dry” before the election and is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of Denton, Denton County, on November 7, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 9,316 FOR and 4,621 AGAINST. The City of Denton, Denton County, “wet” only in part for the sale of beer and wine before the election and is now “wet” throughout for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Denton, Denton County, on November 7, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 8,599 FOR and 5,284 AGAINST. The City of Denton, Denton County, was “dry” for mixed beverages before the election and is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.

An election was held for the City of Sanger, Denton County, on November 7, 2006, the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 567 FOR and 430 AGAINST. The City of Sanger, Denton County, was “dry” before the election and is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Cisco, Eastland County, on November 7, 2006, on the issue of the legal sale of beer and wine. The issue failed by a vote of 399 FOR and

546 AGAINST. The City of Cisco, Eastland County was “dry” prior to the election and remains “dry” after the election.

An election was held for Hardeman County, on November 7, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 898 FOR and 524 AGAINST. Hardeman County was “dry” before the election and now, after the election, is “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for Hardeman County, on November 7, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 878 FOR and 528 AGAINST. Hardeman County was “dry” before the election and now, after the election, is “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of Deer Park, Harris County, on November 7, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 4,162 FOR and 1,899 AGAINST. The City of Deer Park, Harris County, was “wet” only in part for the sale of mixed beverages before the election and is now “wet” throughout for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of Payne Springs, Henderson County, on November 7, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 66 FOR and 74 AGAINST. The City of Payne Springs, Henderson County, was “dry” before the election and after the election remains “dry” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace, Precinct 2, Hill County, on November 7, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of wine by a holder of a winery permit.” The issue passed by a vote of 870 FOR and 362 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace, Precinct 2, Hill County, was “dry” for the sale of wine prior to the election and is now “wet” for the sale of wine by a holder of a winery permit.

An election was held for the City of Levelland, Hockley County, on November 7, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 1,372 FOR and 1,008 AGAINST. The City of Levelland, Hockley County, was “dry” before the election and is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of Rio Vista, Johnson County, on November 7, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 115 FOR and 119 AGAINST. The City of Rio Vista, Johnson County, was “wet” only for the sale of beer wine before the election and remains “wet” only for such sales after the election.

An election was held for the City of Burleson, Johnson and Tarrant Counties, on November 7, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 3,165 FOR and 2,589 AGAINST. The City of Burleson, Johnson and Tarrant Counties, was “wet” in part before the election for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption and after the election is now “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Cleveland, Liberty County, on November 7, 2006, on the issue of “the legal of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 910 FOR and 509 AGAINST. The City of Cleveland, Liberty County was “dry” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption prior to the election and is now “wet” for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Cleveland, Liberty County, on November 7, 2006, on the issue of “the legal of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 944 FOR and 473 AGAINST. The City of Cleveland, Liberty County was “dry” for the sale of mixed beverages before the election and is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of Wolfforth, Lubbock County, on November 7, 2006, the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 545 FOR and 385 AGAINST. The City of Wolfforth, Lubbock County, was “dry” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and is now “wet” for such sales.

An election was held for McCulloch County, on November 7, 2006 on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 1,204 FOR and 628 AGAINST. McCulloch County was “dry” for the sale of mixed beverages before the election and is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace, Precinct 2, Mitchell County, on November 7, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine.” The issue passed by a vote of 349 FOR and 248 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace, Precinct 2, Mitchell County, was partially “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption before the election but totally “dry” for the sale of beer and wine for on-premises consumption. After the election, it is now “wet” throughout for the sale of beer and wine for both on and off premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Mathis, San Patricio County, on November 7, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer.” The issue failed by a vote of 374 FOR and 520 AGAINST. The City of Mathis, San Patricio County, was “dry” for the sale of beer for on-premises consumption before the election and after the election is still “dry” for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Odem, San Patricio County, on November 7, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 356 FOR and 229 AGAINST. The City of Odem, San Patricio County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Hutto, Williamson County, on November 7, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine.” The issue passed by a vote of 801 FOR and 257 AGAINST. The City of Hutto, Williamson County, was “dry” for sales of beer and wine for on-premises consumption before the election and after the election is now wet for the sale of beer and wine for both on and off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Hutto, Williamson County, on November 7, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 776 FOR and 241 AGAINST. The City of Hutto, Williamson County, was “dry” for mixed beverages before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

Elections held May 12, 2007

An election was held for Andrews County on May 12, 2007, on the issue of “the issue of the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue failed by a vote of 1,451 FOR and 1,839 AGAINST. Andrews County, was “dry” before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

An election was held for the City of Clifton, Bosque County, on May 12, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.” The issue failed by a vote of 282 FOR and 284 AGAINST. The City of Clifton, Bosque County, was “dry” before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

An election was held for the City of Petrolia, Clay County, on May 12, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 168 FOR and 29 AGAINST. The City of Petrolia, Clay County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Comanche, Comanche County, on May 12, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 294 FOR and 289 AGAINST. The City of Comanche, Comanche County, was “dry” before the election and is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for City of Bartonville, Denton County, on May 12, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 138 FOR and 58 AGAINST. The City of Bartonville, Denton County,

was “wet” in part for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election is now “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for City of Highland Village, Denton County, on May 12, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 888 FOR and 358 AGAINST. The City of Highland Village, Denton County, was “wet” in part for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages before the election and after the election is now “wet” throughout for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption and for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants holding a food and beverage certificate. Those parts of the city that were wet for all alcoholic beverage sales, including mixed beverages, before the election retain that status.

An election was held for City of Highland Village, Denton County, on May 12, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants for food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 1,029 FOR and 234 AGAINST. The City of Highland Village, Denton County, was “wet” in part for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages before the election and after the election is now “wet” throughout for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption and for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants holding a food and beverage certificate. Those parts of the city that were wet for all alcoholic beverage sales, including mixed beverages, before the election retain that status.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace, Precinct 5, Denton County, on May 12, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 1,550 FOR and 1,131 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace, Precinct 5, Denton County, was “wet” in part for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election is now “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace, Precinct 5, Denton County, on May 12, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants for food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 1,696 FOR and 1,000 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace, Precinct 5, Denton County, was wet in part for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders before the election and after the election is now wet throughout for such sales.

An election was held for City of Ponder, Denton County, on May 12, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 114 FOR and 105 AGAINST. The City of Ponder, Denton County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.

An election was held for the City of Flower Mound, Denton and Tarrant Counties, on May 12, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises

consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 1,626 FOR and 813 AGAINST. The City of Flower Mound, Denton and Tarrant Counties, was “wet” in part for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election is now “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Flower Mound, Denton and Tarrant Counties, on May 12, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants for food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 1,694 FOR and 736 AGAINST. The City of Flower Mound, Denton and Tarrant Counties, was “dry” for the sale of mixed beverages before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants holding a food and beverage certificate.

An election was held for the City of Garrett, Ellis County, on May 12, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 68 FOR and 33 AGAINST. The City of Garrett, Ellis County, was “wet” before the election only for the sale of beer for off-premises consumption and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Hico, Erath and Hamilton Counties, on May 12, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 256 FOR and 289 AGAINST. The City of Hico, Erath and Hamilton Counties, was “dry” before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

An election was held for the City of Streetman, Freestone & Navarro Counties, on May 12, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.” The issue passed by a vote of 54 FOR and 13 AGAINST. The City of Streetman, Freestone & Navarro Counties, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Longview, Gregg County, on May 12, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 3,354 FOR and 3,764 AGAINST. The City of Longview, Gregg County, was “wet” only in part for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and after the election remains only partially “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Longview, Gregg County, on May 12, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants for food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 4,136 FOR and 2,956 AGAINST. The City of Longview, Gregg County, was “dry” for mixed beverages before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants holding food and beverage certificates.

An election was held for the City of Cresson, Hood, Johnson, and Parker Counties, was held on May 12, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 44 FOR and 26 AGAINST. The City of Cresson, Hood, Johnson, and Parker Counties, was wet in part for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and now after the election is wet throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Cresson, Hood, Johnson, and Parker Counties, was held on May 12, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants for food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 47 FOR and 23 AGAINST. The City of Cresson, Hood, Johnson, and Parker Counties, was wet in part for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants before the election and now after the election is now wet throughout for such sales.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace, Precinct 3, Llano County, on May 12, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 969 FOR and 247 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace, Precinct 3, Llano County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace, Precinct 3, Llano County, on May 12, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants for food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 948 FOR and 266 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace, Precinct 3, Llano County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants holding food and beverage certificates.

An election was held for the Village of Buffalo Springs Lake, Lubbock County, on May 12, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine.” The issue passed by a vote of 56 FOR and 55 AGAINST. The Village of Buffalo Springs Lake, Lubbock County, was “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only before the election and after the election is now also wet for the sale of beer and wine for on-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Pinehurst, Orange County, on May 12, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 179 FOR and 45 AGAINST. The City of Pinehurst, Orange County, was “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages except mixed beverages before the election and after the election is now also “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by holders for food and beverage certificates.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace, Precinct 2, Real County, on May 12, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine. The issue passed by a vote of 310 FOR and 143 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace, Precinct 2, Real County, was “dry” before the election and is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine.

An election was held for Sherman County on May 12, 2007, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue failed by a vote of 247 FOR and 250 AGAINST. Sherman County was “dry” before the election and after the election remains “dry.”

An election was held for the City of Bridgeport, Wise County, on May 12, 2007 on the issue of the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages. The issue passed by a vote of 271 FOR and 109 AGAINST. The City of Bridgeport, Wise County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.