

**Local Option Elections
Fiscal Year 2006
(September 1, 2005 – August 31, 2006)**

There were 54 local option elections held in 40 jurisdictions during the fiscal year that ended August 31, 2006. Elections were called for each of the following issues: (1) The legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only; (2) The legal sale of beer and wine; (3) The legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off premises consumption only; (4) The legal sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages; (5) The legal sale of mixed beverages; and (6) The legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only. As a result of these elections, several of which were held the same day for the same jurisdictions, 30 jurisdictions authorized or expanded alcoholic beverages sales, and 10 jurisdictions retained their previous status.

The details of the elections are as follow:

September 10, 2005

An election was held for the City of Copperas Cove, Bell, Coryell, and Lampasas Counties, on September 10, 2005, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine.” The issue passed by a vote of 1,811 FOR and 900 AGAINST. The City of Copperas Cove, Bell, Coryell, and Lampasas Counties, was “dry” before the election and is now “wet” for the sell of beer and wine for both on and off premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Cransfill Gap, Bosque County, on September 10, 2005, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue passed by a vote of 99 FOR and 77 AGAINST. The City of Cransfill Gap, Bosque County, was “dry” before the election and is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages including mixed beverages.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 2, Coke County, on September 10, 2005, on the issue of “prohibiting the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issued failed by a vote of 121 FOR and 247 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 2, Coke County, was “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only” prior to the election and remains “wet” for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Melissa, Collin County, on September 10, 2005, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 176 FOR and 93 AGAINST. The City of Melissa, Collin County, was “wet” in part for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption prior to the election and is now “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Melissa, Collin County, on September 10, 2005, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 192 FOR and 78 AGAINST. The City of Melissa, Collin County, was “dry” for the sale of mixed beverages prior to the

election and is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of Sachse, Collin and Dallas Counties, on September 10, 2005, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 1,037 FOR and 447 AGAINST. The City of Sachse, Collin and Dallas Counties, was “dry” before the election and is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Sachse, Collin and Dallas Counties, on September 10, 2005, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 1,080 FOR and 398 AGAINST. The City of Sachse, Collin and Dallas Counties, was “dry” before the election and is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.

An election was held for the City of Mansfield, Ellis, Johnson, and Tarrant Counties, on September 10, 2005 on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 2,438 FOR and 598 AGAINST. The City of Mansfield, Ellis, Johnson and Tarrant Counties, was “dry” before the election and is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Mansfield, Ellis, Johnson and Tarrant Counties, on September 10, 2005 on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 2,477 FOR and 551 AGAINST. The City of Mansfield, Ellis, Johnson, and Tarrant Counties, was “dry” before the election and is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 3, Hamilton County, on September 10, 2005, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 280 FOR and 331 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 3, Hamilton County, was “dry” before the election and remains “dry.”

An election was held for the City of Latexo, Houston County, on September 10, 2005, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 45 FOR and 67 AGAINST. The City of Latexo, Houston County, was “dry” before the election and remains “dry.”

An election has held for the City of Rio Vista, Johnson County, on September 10, 2005, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 88 FOR and 104 AGAINST. The City of Rio Vista, Johnson County, was “wet” only for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and remains “wet” only for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Lampasas, Lampasas County, on September 10, 2005, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 331 FOR and 98 AGAINST. The City of Lampasas, Lampasas County, was for the most part “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption before the election. After the election, the city is “wet” throughout for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption, and is also “wet” in most, but not all, parts of the city for the sale of liquor for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Lampasas, Lampasas County, on September 10, 2005, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 354 FOR and 83 AGAINST. The City of Lampasas, Lampasas County, was “dry” for the sale of alcoholic beverages for on-premises consumption prior to the election. After the election, it is “wet” throughout for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 2, Leon County, on September 10, 2005 on the issue of the “legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 450 FOR and 687 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 2, Leon County, was “dry” before the election and remains “dry.”

An election was held for the City of Wolfforth, Lubbock County, on September 10, 2005, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 346 FOR and 126 AGAINST. The City of Wolfforth, Lubbock County, was “dry” before the election and is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of Cherino, Nacogdoches County, on September 10, 2005, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue failed by a vote of 24 FOR and 29 AGAINST. The City of Cherino, Nacogdoches County, was “dry” before the election and remains “dry.”

An election was held for the City of Willow Park, Parker County, on September 10, 2005, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 473 FOR and 111 AGAINST. The City of Willow Park, Parker County, was “dry” before the election and is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Willow Park, Parker County, on September 10, 2005, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 472 FOR and 114 AGAINST. The City of Willow Park, Parker County, was “dry” before the election and is now “wet” for the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of Bedford, Tarrant County, on September 10, 2005, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 4,674 FOR and 681 AGAINST. The City of Bedford, Tarrant County, was “wet” only for the sale of beer for off-premises consumption and for the sale of mixed beverages prior to the election. After the election, it is also “wet” for the sale for wine (alcohol content 17% or less) for off-premises consumption only.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace, Precinct 4, Tom Green County, on September 10, 2005, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 1,185 FOR and 960 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace, Precinct 4, Tom Green County, was “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only” prior to the election and remains “wet” for such sales.

November 8, 2005

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 2, Denton County, on November 8, 2005, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine.” The issue passed by a vote of 3,848 FOR and 2,740 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 2, Denton County, was “dry” before the election and is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 1, Grimes County, on November 8, 2005, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 543 FOR and 432 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace Precinct 1, Grimes County was “dry” before the election and is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.

An election was held for the City of Pasadena, Harris County, on November 8, 2005, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 4,792 FOR and 4,486 AGAINST. The City of Pasadena, Harris County, was “wet” in part for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and is now “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Pasadena, Harris County, on November 8, 2005, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 5,540 FOR and 3,830 AGAINST. The City of Pasadena, Harris County, was “wet” in part for the sale of mixed beverages before the election and is now “wet” throughout for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificated holders.

An election was held for the City of Woodcreek, Hays County, on November 8, 2005, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 312 FOR and 202 AGAINST. The City of Woodcreek, Hays County, was “dry” before the election and is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.

An election was held for the City of Goree, Knox County, on November 8, 2005, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 72 FOR and 22 AGAINST. The City of Goree, Knox County, was “dry” prior to the election and is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.

An election was held for the City of Littlefield, Lamb County, on November 8, 2005, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 930 FOR and 888 AGAINST. The City of Littlefield, Lamb County, was “dry” before the election and is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.

An election was held for Wilbarger County on November 8, 2005, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.” The issue passed by a vote of 2,259 FOR and 1,500 AGAINST. Wilbarger County was already “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages before the election and remains “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages, including mixed beverages.

May 13, 2005

An election was held for the City of Texarkana, Bowie County, on May 13, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 2,336 FOR and 2,491 AGAINST. The City of Texarkana, Bowie County, was “dry” before the election and after the election, remains “dry” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Texarkana, Bowie County, on May 13, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 2,627 FOR and 2,067 AGAINST. The City of Texarkana, Bowie County, was “dry” before the election and after the election, is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of St. Paul, Collin County, on May 13, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 107 FOR and 124 AGAINST. The City of St. Paul, Collin County, was “dry” before the election and remains “dry” after the election.

An election was held for the City of Prosper, Collin and Denton Counties, on May 13, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 62 FOR and 13 AGAINST. The City of Prosper, Collin and Denton Counties, was “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only before the election and after the election is now also “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of Wylie, Collin, Dallas and Rockwall Counties, on May 13, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 959 FOR and 600 AGAINST. The City of Wylie, Collin, Dallas and Rockwall Counties, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.

An election was held for the City of Wylie, Collin, Dallas, and Rockwall Counties, on May 13, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 1,053 FOR and 503 AGAINST. The City of Wylie, Collin, Dallas, and Rockwall Counties, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of Balch Springs, Dallas County, on May 13, 2006, on the issues of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 396 FOR and 357 AGAINST. The City of Balch Springs, Dallas County, was “dry” before the election and now after the election is “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Balch Springs, Dallas County, on May 13, 2006, on the issues of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 450 FOR and 299 AGAINST. The City of Balch Springs, Dallas County, was “dry” before the election and now after the election is “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of Cockrell Hill, Dallas County, on May 13, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 198 FOR and 252 AGAINST. The City of Cockrell Hill, Dallas County, was “dry” before the election and after the election remains “dry” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Cockrell Hill, Dallas County, on May 13, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 235 FOR and 209 AGAINST. The City of Cockrell Hill, Dallas County, was “dry” before the election and now after the election is “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of Lincoln Park, Denton County, on May 13, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.” The issue passed by a vote of 44 FOR and 3 AGAINST. The City of Lincoln Park, Denton County, was for the most part “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption prior to the election and now after the election is “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Lincoln Park, Denton County, on May 13, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 46 FOR and 2 AGAINST. The City of Lincoln Park, Denton County, was for the most part “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages before the election and is now “wet” throughout for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of Hico, Erath and Hamilton Counties, on May 13, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 282 FOR and 310 AGAINST. The City of Hico, Erath and Hamilton Counties, was “dry” before the election and remains “dry” after the election.

An election was held for Foard County, on May 13, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 226 FOR and 130 AGAINST. Foard County was “dry” before the election and now after the election is “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for Foard County, on May 13, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 238 FOR and 142 AGAINST. Foard County was “dry” before the election and now after the election is “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of Port Arthur, Jefferson County, on May 13, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 2,546 FOR and 943 AGAINST. The City of Port Arthur, Jefferson County, was “dry” before the election and is now “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for the City of Benjamin, Knox County, on May 13, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 61 FOR and 47 AGAINST. The City of Benjamin, Knox County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Lometa, Lampasas County, on May 13, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 159 FOR and 42 AGAINST. The City of Lometa, Lampasas County, was “dry” before the election and after the election is now “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for the City of Giddings, Lee County, on May 13, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages.” The issue passed by a vote of 118 FOR and 52 AGAINST. The City of Giddings, Lee County, was for the most part “wet” for

mixed beverages before the election and now after the election is “wet” throughout for mixed beverages.

An election was held for the City of Waco, McLennan County, on May 13, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 1,284 FOR and 505 AGAINST. The City of Waco, McLennan County, was for the most part already “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election and now after the election is “wet” throughout for such sales.

An election was held for the City of Waco, McLennan County, on May 13, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 1,344 FOR and 460 AGAINST. The City of Waco, McLennan County, was for the most part already “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages before the election and now after the election is “wet” throughout for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for Mills County on May 13, 2006, on the issue of the “legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption only.” The issue failed by a vote of 601 FOR and 627 AGAINST. Prior to the election, Mills County was “wet” only in part for the sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premises consumption. Now after the election, it is still “wet” only in part for such sales.

An election was held for Polk County on May 13, 2006, on the issue of the “legal sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption only.” The issue passed by a vote of 2,875 FOR and 2,035 AGAINST. Polk County was only partially “wet” for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption before the election, and is now “wet” throughout for the sale of beer and wine for off-premises consumption.

An election was held for Polk County on May 13, 2006, on the issue of the “legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 2,964 FOR and 1,910 AGAINST. Polk County was only partially “wet” for the sale of mixed beverages before the election and is now “wet” throughout for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.

An election was held for Justice of the Peace Precinct 3, Potter County, on May 13, 2006, on the issue of “the legal sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders only.” The issue passed by a vote of 368 FOR and 183 AGAINST. Justice of the Peace, Precinct 3, Potter County, was dry before the election and after the election is now wet for the sale of mixed beverages in restaurants by food and beverage certificate holders.